

EFFECT OF CATALYST ON THE DIASTEREOSELECTIVITY OF METHYL PHENYLDIAZOACETATE CYCLOPROPANATIONS

Huw M. L. Davies* and Leatte Rusiniak

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14260-3000, USA Received 10 July 1998; accepted 17 September 1998

Abstract: In contrast to previously published studies, the diastereoselectivity of phenyldiazoacetate cyclopropanations is not greatly altered by the type of rhodium carboxylate catalyst that is used.

© 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In 1993, we communicated that Rh₂(S-TBSP)₄ (1a) is an excellent catalyst for asymmetric cyclopropanations by vinyldiazoacetates. Subsequently, a full paper on this work demonstrated that in order to achieve high asymmetric induction, the N-sulfonated amino acid ligand needed to be a cyclic system.² Catalysts derived from acyclic amino acids resulted in low asymmetric induction while the azetidinecarboxylate 2 and the picolinate complex gave reasonable asymmetric induction, although neither was as effective as the prolinate catalysts. In 1996, two groups reported that methyl phenyldiazoacetate behaved analogously to the vinyldiazoacetates,3 resulting in highly diastereoselective and enantioselective cyclopropanations on decomposition by either Rh₂(S-TBSP)₄ (1a) or Rh₂(S-DOSP)₄ (1b). Recently, Zwanenburg and co-workers⁴ reported that the cyclopropanation of styrene by methyl phenyldiazoacetate occurred with lower enantioselectivity but much better diastereoselectivity when catalyzed by 2 rather than 1a. The conclusions with regard to diastereoselectivity⁴ were not in accord with our general observations on the effect of catalysts on vinyldiazoacetate cyclopropanations.² Moreover, some of the reported diastereoselectivity seemed very unusual. For example, the E/Z ratio changed from >99: 1 to 97.6: 2.4 to >99: 1 as the mole equivalent of catalyst 2 changed from 4.3% to 1% to 0.1%. Consequently, we have carried out a systematic study to reevaluate the effect of catalyst on the diastereoselectivity of phenyldiazoacetate cyclopropanations and the results of this study are described herein.

In order to evaluate the effect of the catalysts, the decomposition of methyl phenyldiazoacetate (3) in the presence of styrene (5 equiv.) using 1 mol% of catalyst at room temperature was used as the standard reaction (Table 1). In addition to the chiral catalysts 1 and 2, bulky catalysts such as $Rh_2(OPiv)_4$ and $Rh_2(TPA)_4$, and the highly electron deficient catalyst $Rh_2(TFA)_4$ were examined. The diastereoselectivity was measured by

Table 1. Stereoselectivity of cyclopropanations by methyl phenyldiazoacetate.

Entry	Catalyst	solvent	E/Z ratio	Yield, %	ee of 4 , %
1	Rh ₂ (S-TBSP) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98:2	65	67 (61 ⁴)
2	Rh ₂ (S-TBSP) ₄	pentane	97 : 3	72	86 (85 ⁴)
3	Rh ₂ (S-DOSP) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98 : 2	69	69
4	Rh ₂ (S-DOSP) ₄	pentane	97 : 3	79	91
5	2	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98 : 2	55	32 (35 ⁴)
6	2	pentane	97 : 3	74	47 (49 ⁴)
7	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98 : 2	69	-
8	Rh ₂ (OPiv) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98 : 2	61	-
9	$Rh_2(TPA)_4$	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98 : 2	71	-
10	Rh ₂ (TFA) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	99 : 1	70	-

integration of the methoxy signals in the NMR of the crude reaction mixtures. The minor Z isomer S is readily identified because the methoxy group is shielded by the adjacent phenyl ring and occurs at 3.32 ppm. In all instances the diastereoselectivity was between 97: 3 and 99: 1. Changing the mole equivalent of 2 from 0.1% to 5% had no effect on the E/Z ratio. A very slight solvent effect was seen in these reactions and the highest diastereoselectivity was seen using $Rh_2(TFA)_4$ in CH_2Cl_2 . The enantioselectivity was readily determined by HPLC on a chiraldex OJ column and was in very close agreement with the published values.^{3,4}

In summary, this study demonstrates that the rhodium catalyst structure has minimal effect on the diastereoselectivity of cyclopropanation of styrene by methyl phenyldiazoacetate. The azetidinecarboxylate catalyst 2 has no advantage over Rh₂(S-TBSP)₄ (1a) or Rh₂(S-DOSP)₄ (1b) with regard to diastereocontrol⁵ and is an inferior catalyst in terms of asymmetric induction.

Acknowledgment: Financial support of this work by the National Science Foundation (CHE 9726124) is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- 1. Davies, H. M. L.; Hutcheson, D. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7243.
- 2. Davies, H. M. L.; Bruzinski, P. R.; Lake, D. H.; Kong, N.; Fall, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6897.
- 3. (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Bruzinski, P. R.; Fall, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 4133. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Zhou, Q. -L.; Charnsangavej, C.; Longoria, M. A.; McKervey, M. A.; Garcia, C. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 4129.
- 4. Starmans, W. A. J.; Thijs, L.; Zwanenburg, B. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 629.
- 5. It is difficult to rationalize the cause of the difference between the diastereoselectivity results reported here and those in Ref. 4. In our studies the diasteroselectivity was measured by NMR, which gave an excellent indicator for the minor diastereomer, while the studies in Ref. 4 used GC analysis.